

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,970	Applicant(s) LEE, JAE WOO	
	Examiner Dennis H. Pedder	Art Unit 3612	

All Participants:

(1) Dennis H. Pedder.

(2) Mr. Ruschmann.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006

Time: 11:10am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Ruschmann previously requested continuation of prosecution and agreed on this date to amend claim 12 to delete an indefinite term that implies unrecited structure and to delete a clause not considered essential to patentability that did not appear to have disclosure support as filed.